

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

AFSHIN BAHRAMPOUR,
Plaintiff,
vs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-985-GMN-VCF

ORDER

In May 2016, Bahrapour initiated this action by filing an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and a complaint. (ECF No. 1) This court granted Bahrapour's application, but recommended that his complaint be dismissed. (ECF No. 2) This court also ordered Bahrapour to show cause why he should not be declared a vexatious litigant. (*Id.*) Bahrapour objected to this court's recommendation that his action be dismissed. (ECF No. 3) That recommendation is still pending before the district judge. (ECF No. 2)

On June 6, 2016, this court held a show cause hearing. (ECF No. 4) Bahrapour did not appear at this hearing nor did he file a written response. (ECF No. 5) This court recommended that Bahrapour be deemed a vexatious litigant. (*Id.*) This recommendation is also pending before the district judge.

Before the court are the following motions:

- 1) Motion for interlocutory injunction (ECF No. 35);
- 2) Motion for issuance of summons (ECF No. 44);
- 3) Motion for status check (ECF No. 47)

Given the nature of the two pending recommendations, Bahrapour's motions are denied.

1 ACCORDINGLY, and for good cause shown,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following motions are DENIED:

3 1) Motion for interlocutory injunction (ECF No. 35);

4 2) Motion for issuance of summons (ECF No. 44);

5 3) Motion for status check (ECF No. 47)

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED this 13th day of January, 2017.

8
9 

10 _____
11 CAM FERENBACH
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25